ISSN 0031-918X, Physics of Metals and Metallography, 2020, Vol. 121, No. 12, pp. 1132–1136. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2020. Russian Text © The Author(s), 2020, published in Fizika Metallov i Metallovedenie, 2020, Vol. 121, No. 12, pp. 1234–1238.

ELECTRICAL AND MAGNETIC = PROPERTIES

Microwave Refractive Index in CoFe/Cu Superlattices with a Giant Magnetoresistive Effect

A. B. Rinkevich^{a, *}, E. A. Kuznetsov^{a, b}, D. V. Perov^a, M. A. Milyaev^a, and L. I. Naumova^a

^aMikheev Institute of Metal Physics, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ekaterinburg, 620108 Russia ^bRussian State Vocational Pedagogical University, Ekaterinburg, 620012 Russia

*e-mail: rin@imp.uran.ru

Received July 21, 2020; revised August 11, 2020; accepted August 19, 2020

Abstract—The microwave properties of magnetic metallic nanostructures with a giant magnetoresistive effect are studied in this work. The microwave refractive index is calculated; it is shown that its changes have two physical causes: the high-frequency giant magnetoresistive effect and the ferromagnetic resonance.

Keywords: magnetic metallic nanostructures, microwaves, magnetoresistance **DOI:** 10.1134/S0031918X20120108

INTRODUCTION

Advances in the growth technology have made it possible to prepare CoFe/Cu superlattices with one of the highest values of the giant magnetoresistive effect (GMR) [1]. A microwave giant magnetoresistive effect (µGMR) of record magnitude has recently been obtained [2] in superlattices of this type. It is known that anomalous values of the refractive index can occur in micro-inhomogeneous media and metamaterials, making it possible to observe several unusual phenomena [3]. Knowing this index allows one to calculate the transformation of fields at the interfaces, and can be useful in calculating microwave devices. The complex refractive index is calculated from the known values of the permittivity and permeability. As shown in [4], the microwave refractive index can change significantly due to strong changes in permeability under conditions of ferromagnetic resonance (FMR). The refractive index also depends on the complex effective permittivity $\varepsilon_{ef} = \varepsilon' - i\varepsilon''$. The conductivity of metallic nanostructures can vary significantly in a magnetic field, and ε_{ef} changes accordingly. Preliminary experiments carried out in [5] showed that the refractive index changes under the conditions of the μ GMR effect.

Microwave methods are successfully used to study metallic nanostructures [6, 7]. It was shown in [8] that changes in the high-frequency transmission coefficient of a nanostructure are practically equal to the relative magnetoresistance. The fact that the giant magnetoresistance affects the coefficient of microwave reflection from the nanostructure is shown in [9]. The microwave properties of Co/Cu nanostructures with high magnetoresistance were studied in [10, 11]. The magnetoresistance of spin valves at microwave frequencies was studied in [12].

The microwave refractive index of CoFe/Cu superlattices is studied in this work to establish the main cause of its change in a magnetic field. The measurements were based on the transmission of microwaves through the nanostructure, which makes it possible to obtain quantitative data on microwave conductivity. The CoFe/Cu system, in which adjacent ferromagnetic layers are coupled by exchange interaction, is convenient for such analysis, since it has a large GMR. In addition, using the transmission method, one can observe ferromagnetic resonance and reconstruct the dependence of the permeability on the external magnetic field. From these measurements, the effective permittivity and permeability were determined, and the complex refractive index and its field dependence were calculated.

EXPERIMENTAL

microwave properties The of two $[(Co_{0.88}Fe_{0.12})/Cu]_n$ superlattices with compositions $Ta(5)/PyCr(5)/[Co_{0.88}Fe_{0.12}(1.5)/Cu(0.95)]_{24}/Ta(5)$ (sample no. 1) and Ta(5)/PyCr(5)/[Co_{0.88}Fe_{0.12}(1.3)/Cu(2.05)]₈ $Co_{0.88}Fe_{0.12}(1.3)/PyCr(3)$ (sample no. 2) were studied. Here, Py denotes permalloy, $PyCr = (Ni_{80}Fe_{20})_{60}Cr_{40}$ is an alloy, and the numbers in parentheses denote the layer thickness in nanometers. The number after the brackets denotes the number of layer pairs in the superlattice. Superlattices were prepared by magnetron sputtering using an MPS-4000-C6 installation on 0.2-mm-thick Corning glass substrates. The thickness of the Cu spacer was chosen so that, for the first sample, the thickness $t_s = 0.95$ nm falls on the first maxi-



Fig. 1. Conductivity of superlattices vs. magnetic field.

mum of the magnetoresistance and the thickness of the second sample, $t_s = 2.05$ nm, falls on the second maximum. Structural studies on a DRON_3M diffractometer with CoK α radiation showed that both superlattices have a perfect layer structure with an fcc lattice and an $\langle 111 \rangle$ axial texture with an axis normal to the layer plane. The electrical conductivity of the samples was measured by a DC four-contact method at room temperature. The measured dependence of the conductivity of the samples on the magnetic field is shown in Fig. 1. A magnetic field is applied in the plane of the sample. As can be seen from the figure, changes in the conductivity occur in these samples in different ranges of the magnetic field: $H \leq 10$ kOe for the first sample and $H \leq 0.3$ kOe for the second sample.

Microwave measurements were carried out by the method described in [8], using the transmission and reflection of waves. The sample was placed in the cross section of the waveguide, completely covering it. The magnetic field was applied in the plane of the superlattice, parallel to the narrow side of the waveguide, so that the vectors of constant, H, and variable, H_{\sim} , magnetic fields be mutually perpendicular, $H \perp H_{\sim}$. Using a scalar network analyzer at frequencies from 26 to 38 GHz, the absolute value of the transmission coefficient, |D(H)|, and its relative change in a magnetic field, $d_{\rm m} = \frac{|D(H)| - |D(0)|}{|D(0)|}$, as well as the absolute D(0)value of the reflection coefficient, |R(H)|, and its relative change in a magnetic field, $r_{\rm m} = \frac{|R(H)| - |R(0)|}{|R(0)|}$ were measured.

RESULTS OF MICROWAVE MEASUREMENTS

The measured dependences of the absolute value of the transmission coefficient are shown in Fig. 2. For



Fig. 2. Microwaves transmission coefficient of superlattices vs. magnetic field: (a) sample no. 1 and (b) sample no. 2.

sample no. 1, a monotonic decrease in the transmission coefficient is observed, which can be associated with the μ GMR effect and explained by a decrease in the skin depth with an increase in the conductivity of the superlattice. The magnetic saturation field is ~7 kOe. In addition to this monotonic change, the dependences exhibit resonant changes caused by the microwave absorption under the FMR conditions. These results are presented for frequencies f = 35 and 38 GHz, at which the resonant changes occur when the sample is close to magnetic saturation. Similar results for sample no. 2 are shown in Fig. 2b. Here, in the given range of magnetic fields, only nonresonant changes caused by the μ GMR are seen.

CALCULATION OF THE REFRACTIVE INDEX AND DISCUSSION

To calculate the refractive index, one needs to know the effective permittivty ϵ_{eff} or the conductivity and the effective permeability μ_{eff} . To estimate μ_{eff} , it suffices to assume that the dependence of the perme-

PHYSICS OF METALS AND METALLOGRAPHY Vol. 121 No. 12 2020



Fig. 3. (a) Changes in dissipation of microwaves in magnetic field and (b) microwave permeability in magnetic field. Sample no. 2, frequency f = 38 GHz.

ability on the frequency and the magnetic field strength has the Lorentzian form [13]. The components of the permeability tensor can be expressed via dynamic magnetic susceptibilities: diagonal, χ , and off-diagonal, χ_a :

$$\mu = 1 + 4\pi\chi, \quad \mu_a = 4\pi\chi_a, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\chi = \chi' - i\chi'' = \frac{\gamma M \theta_{v}}{\Omega} \left\{ \omega_{H} \left[\omega_{H}^{2} - (1 - \alpha^{2}) \omega^{2} \right] - i\alpha \omega \left[\omega_{H}^{2} + (1 + \alpha^{2}) \omega^{2} \right] \right\},$$
(2a)

$$\chi_{a} = \chi_{a}' - i\chi_{a}'' = \frac{\gamma M \theta_{v} \omega}{\Omega} \left\{ \left[\omega_{H}^{2} - \left(1 + \alpha^{2} \right) \omega^{2} \right] - i2\alpha \omega \omega_{H} \right\},$$
(2b)

where $\gamma = \frac{g|e|}{2mc}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, g is the spectroscopic splitting factor, $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the angular frequency, θ_v is the volume fraction of ferromagnetic layers, M is the saturation magnetization of the ferromag-

netic layer,
$$\omega_H = \gamma H$$
, $\Omega = [\omega_H^2 - (1 + \alpha^2)\omega^2]^2 +$

 $4\alpha^2 \omega^2 \omega_{H}^2$, and α is the damping factor of the magnetic system in the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. The damping parameter α can be approximately estimated from the data of the microwave experiments. To that end, it is necessary to transform the field dependences of the transmission, *D*, and reflection, *R*, coefficients to find the fraction of the microwave power dissipated in the sample, $\Delta(H) = 1 - [[D(H)] + [R(H)]]$. For sample no. 1, the dependence $\Delta(H)$ obtained at a frequency f = 38 GHz is shown in Fig. 3a. The absorption of microwaves under the FMR conditions is expressed as a maximum in the field $H_{\text{FMR}} \approx 7.5$ kOe. The damping factor can be found as $\alpha = \Delta H/H_{\text{FMR}}$, where ΔH is the FMR line width [13]. For sample no. 1, we obtained $\alpha \approx 0.015$.

Knowing the factor α , the magnetization M from magnetic measurements, and the volume fraction of ferromagnetic layers, θ_v , we can calculate the components of the magnetic susceptibility and permeability tensors by formulas (2a) and (2b). For the field configuration $H \perp H_{\sim}$, used here, the role of the effective permeability μ_{eff} is played by the following combination of the permeability tensor components [13]:

$$\mu_{\rm eff} = \mu - \frac{\mu_a^2}{\mu}.$$
 (3)

The calculated field dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the permeability μ_{eff} for sample no. 1 is shown in Fig. 3b. The real part of the permeability is an alternating function, and the imaginary part has a maximum.

The effective complex permittivity ε_{eff} can be calculated from the conductivity of superlattices, $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon' - i\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon' - i\frac{4\pi\sigma}{\omega}$, known from Fig. 1. For a metallic superlattice, the real part of the permittivity can be neglected. The complex refractive index $n_{\text{eff}} =$ n' - in'' can be calculated from the known dynamic permittivity ε_{eff} and permeability μ_{eff} :

$$n_{\rm eff} = n' - in'' = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm eff} \mu_{\rm eff}}.$$
 (4)

The real part of the complex refractive index, n', represents refraction. The imaginary part of the index, n'', represents absorption. The dependences of the real and imaginary parts of the complex refractive index on the magnetic field for sample no. 1, calculated by formula (4) for several frequencies, are shown in Fig. 4a. These dependences have a resonant character. It can be seen that FMR makes the main contribution to the change in the refractive index in this sample. The real part of the refractive index has an alternating dependence. The index is negative because, below the FMR field, the effective permeability is negative. The imaginary part of the refractive index at FMR has a maximum due to absorption of waves. The dependences in



Fig. 4. Refractive index of superlattices vs. magnetic field: (a) sample no. 1, calculations for several frequencies. Selection of the contribution from the giant magnetoresistance: (b) sample no. 1 and (c) sample no. 2.

Fig. 4a were plotted taking into account the change in the conductivity of the sample shown in Fig. 1. To select the contribution to the refractive index from the GMR, it is necessary to make a calculation with a constant conductivity of the sample, $\sigma = \sigma(H = 0)$, and compare the result with the calculation at $\sigma = \sigma(H)$.

This was done for the absolute value of the refractive index, $|n_{eff}|$, (Fig. 4b). It can be seen from the figure that FMR makes the main contribution to the change in the refractive index in this sample, but the contribution from the GMR is also present. To select the contribution from the GMR, sample no. 2 is more convenient, because most of the change in conductivity in it occurs in fields below 0.2 kOe, which is much lower than the FMR field. Figure 4c shows the dependence of the absolute value of refractive index on the magnetic field, calculated for fields below 1 kOe. It is clearly seen here that there is a contribution to $|n_{eff}|$ from the GMR. The results in Fig. 4c are consistent with previously published results [5].

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have considered the dependence of the microwave refractive index on the magnetic field for $[(Co_{0.88}Fe_{0.12})/Cu]_n$ metal superlattices, which have a giant magnetoresistive effect. Measurements of the coefficient of transmission of waves through superlattices at frequencies from 26 to 38 GHz were carried out. It has been found that the dependence of the transmission coefficient on the magnetic field is caused by the ferromagnetic resonance and the giant magnetoresistive effect. From microwave measurements of ferromagnetic resonance, the field dependence of the permeability has been reconstructed. This made it possible to calculate the complex refractive index and its real part. It has been found that there are two contributions to the field dependence of the refractive index: resonant, caused by the FMR, and nonresonant, caused by the GMR. The refractive index in fields lower than the FMR field is negative.

FUNDING

This work was carried out within the state assignment of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (topic "Spin", no. AAAA-A18-118020290104-2, and "Function", no. AAAA-A19-119012990095-0). The calculations of the refractive index in Section 3 were supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 17-12-01002).

REFERENCES

- 1. M. A. Milyaev, L. I. Naumova, and V Ustinov, "Exchange-coupled superlattices with record magnetoresistance," Phys. Met. Metallogr. **119**, No. 12, 1162– 1166 (2018).
- A. B. Rinkevich, Ya. A. Pakhomov, E. A. Kuznetsov, A. S. Klepikova, M. A. Milyaev, L. I. Naumova, and V. Ustinov, "Microwave giant magnetoresistance in [CoFe/Cu]_n superlattices with record-high magnetoresistance," Pis'ma Zh. Teor. Fiz. 45, 42–44 (2019).
- Metamaterials: Physics and Engineering Explorations, Ed. by N. Engheta and R. W. Ziolkowski (Wiley, Hoboken, 2006), p. 414.
- A. B. Rinkevich and D. V. Perov, "Electromagnetic field inhomogeneity in artificial crystals with ferrimagnetic particles," Dokl. Phys. 63, 269–271 (2018).

- A. B. Rinkevich, D. V. Perov, E. A. Kuznetsov, and M. A. Milyaev, "Changes in the microwave refractive index caused by the giant magnetoresistive effect," Dokl. Phys. 64, No. 8, 316–318 (2019).
- J. J. Krebs, P. Lubitz, A. Chaiken, and G. A. Prinz, "Magnetoresistance origin for nonresonant microwave absorption in antiferromagnetically coupled epitaxial Fe/Cr/Fe(001) sandwiches," J. Appl. Phys. 69, 4795– 4797 (1991).
- B. K. Kuanr, A. V. Kuanr, P. Grunberg, and G. Nimtz, "Swept-frequency FMR on Fe/Cr trilayer ultrathin films—microwave giant magnetoresistance," Phys. Lett. 221, 245–252 (1996).
- V. V. Ustinov, A. B. Rinkevich, L. N. Romashev, and V. I. Minin, "Correlation between microwave transmission and giant magnetoresistance in Fe/Cr superlattices," J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 177–181, 1205–1206 (1998).
- 9. Z. Frait, P. Sturc, K. Temst, Y. Bruynseraede, and I. Vavra, "Microwave and d.c. differential giant magne-

toresistance study of iron/chromium superlattices," Solid State Commun. **112**, 569–573 (1999).

- D. P. Belozorov, V. N. Derkach, S. V. Nedukh, A. G. Ravlik, S. T. Roschenko, I. G. Shipkova, S. I. Tarapov, and F. Yildiz, "High-frequency magnetoresonance and magnetoimpedance in Co/Cu multilayers with variable interlayer thickness," Int. J. Infrared Millimeter Waves 22, 1669–1682 (2001).
- T. Rausch, T. Szczurek, and M. Schlesinger, "High frequency giant magnetoresistance in evaporated Co/Cu multilayers deposited on Si (110) and Si (100)," J. Appl. Phys. 85, 314–318 (1999).
- D. E. Endean, J. N. Heyman, and S. Maat, and E. Dan Dahlberg, "Quantitative analysis of the giant magnetoresistance effect at microwave frequencies," Phys. Rev. B 84, 212405 (2011).
- 13. A. G. Gurevich and G. A. Melkov, *Magnetic Oscillations and Waves* (Nauka, Moscow, 1994).

Translated by E. Chernokozhin